

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/701,501	01/08/2001	Ole Markmann	D078 1100	5324
75	590 02/27/2006		EXAMINER	
James F Vaug			AHMED,	SHEEBA
Womble Carlyl	e Sandridge & Rice			
PO Box 725388	3		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Atlanta, GA 31139-9388 1773			1773	

DATE MAILED: 02/27/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			!'~/
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	iv
	09/701,501	MARKMANN ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Sheeba Ahmed	1773	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address -	-
•		(a) an Tunty (aa) na)	10
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communica D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 N	ovember 2005.		
· _ ·	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits	s is
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3-5,7 and 17</u> is/are pending in the a	application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	• •		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1, 3, 4, 5, 7, and 17</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	epted or b) \square objected to by the $\mathfrak l$	Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	∋ 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		• •
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152	•
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority document		on No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	rity documents have been receive	ed in this National Stage	
application from the International Bureau	ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	d.	
Attachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da		
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal P	ratent Application (PTO-152)	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:		

Application/Control Number: 09/701,501 Page 2

Art Unit: 1773

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

Applicants response to the last Non-final Office Action was received on
 November 18, 2005. No amendments have been made to the claims. Claims 1, 3, 4, 5,
 7, and 17 are now pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1, 3, 4, 5, 7, and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dobry et al. (US 2,962,081) in view of Applicants own admission.

Dobry et al. disclose plastic composition sheets that are adapted for use as floor coverings wherein the process of making such a plastic composition sheet entails depositing uniformly on a backing material a smooth layer of a mixture of fine particles and flat plastic chips (Column 1, lines 49-65). The plastic chips are about 0.18 to 1.0 inches in diameter (equivalent to 4.57 to 25.40 mm) and have a thickness of 0.01 to 0.025 inches (equivalent to 254-635 microns) (Column 2, lines 37-45). The process of the disclosed invention is applicable to various types of plastic compositions used in surface coverings including linoleum (Column 2, lines 71-72). If the plastic composition is linoleum then the linoleum is composed of drying oils, resin, fillers and pigments. The

Application/Control Number: 09/701,501

Art Unit: 1773

oil can be linseed oil. The resin can be rosin or ester gum. The thickness of the plastic layer can be varied and is typically 0.02 to 0.06 inches in thickness (Column 6,lines 11-31, 70-75). Typical of other suitable resins are polymethyl methacrylates (Column 5, lines 27-30). Figure 2 is a cross-sectional view of the surface covering and specifically shows that the plastic chips (10) are imbedded in the matrix of fine granules (11) and wherein a backing material (20) is also present.

Dobry et al. do not state that the rolled linoleum sheet is cut, rotated, and stacked.

However, the last paragraph of Page 2 of the instant application states that in a typical process of making a linoleum sheet mixed masses produced from the standard linoleum raw materials, e.g. linoleum cement, wood flour, powdered cork, chalk, white pigment and colored pigments, are mixed in defined proportions as a function of the desired pattern and are fed into a roll mill in the form of a carded mixed mass. The multicolored speckled linoleum sheet thus obtained (about 1.6 m wide) is divided into pieces about 2 m long and arranged scale-like in the form of a multilayer sheet stack. The oriented speckling of the individual sheets extends perpendicularly to the calendering process direction in the further manufacturing process. The sheet stack is now fed into the nip of a calender and rolled with friction into the desired thickness of the top layer.

Hence, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to cut, rotate, and stack the rolled linoleum sheet taught by Dobry et al. given that the

Art Unit: 1773

Specification of the instant application states that these are typical steps employed in the manufacture of linoleum sheets.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed on November 18, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicants traverse the rejection of claims 1, 3, 4, 5, 7, and 17 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dobry et al. (US 2,962,081) in view of Applicants own admission and submit that when Dobry forms their linoleum sheet, it is formed by completely covering a backing material, not a rolled linoleum sheet. Applicants state that there is no teaching in Dobry to form a rolled linoleum sheet and thereafter warp-free press mixed mass particles into the linoleum sheet. The Examiner would like to point out that the instantly claimed invention does not preclude the presence of the a backing material. Claim 1 simply recites a process comprising the steps of: dispersing at least one type of mixed mass particles onto at least one side of a rolled linoleum sheet, and substantially warp-free pressing the mixed mass particles into the rolled linoleum sheet such that the particles are not substantially distorted. Such a recitation does not preclude the presence of a backing sheet.

Applicants further assert that the present invention uses linoleum mixed mass particles that are present in the form of a granular dispersion mass and that Dobry does not teach such a granular form. However, it is noted that the features upon which Applicants are relying (i.e., linoleum mixed mass particles that are present in the form of a granular dispersion mass) are not recited in the rejected claims. Although the claims

are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

Hence, the above rejections are maintained.

Conclusion

4. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sheeba Ahmed whose telephone number is (571)272-1504. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays and Thursdays from 9:30am to 6:00pm.

Application/Control Number: 09/701,501 Page 6

Art Unit: 1773

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carol Chaney can be reached on (571)272-1284. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art unit 1773

February 21, 2006